



# Dorset Police and Crime Panel Scrutiny Review - Planning & Scoping Document

## Body Worn Video Devices

<p><b>What is the Purpose of the Review?</b></p>	<p><b>Purpose:</b> To review the Police and Crime Commissioners (PCC's) arrangements which ensure a reduction of threat, risk and harm to the public through an efficient and effective body worn video system.</p>
<p><b>What are the Criteria for Selection?</b></p>	<p><b>Rationale for topic selection:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) The PCC has identified previous council tax increase to provide funding for Dorset Police to have body worn video devices and a spotlight scrutiny will test the value for money of this targeted investment for the public.</li> <li>ii) To assess the impact on public confidence in the police on behalf of the public;</li> <li>iii) Provides an opportunity for the Panel, in its capacity as 'critical friend', to seek assurance.</li> <li>iv) Provides a focused review mechanism through which to drive improvement and confidence in public services.</li> </ul>
<p><b>What are the Indicators of Success?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>What factors / outcomes will demonstrate that this Scrutiny Review has been a success?</i></li> </ul>	<p><b>Success Factors:</b> The review will seek to establish whether:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) An effective system exists?</li> <li>ii) A clear &amp; accessible system is in place?</li> <li>iii) An efficient system of deployment, use, accountability, storage and retrieval of evidence is in operation?</li> <li>iv) Appropriate and proportionate risk assessment methodology is used?</li> <li>v) Verification and checking procedures exist?</li> </ul>



<p><b>What Methodology / Approach is to be followed?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>What types of enquiry will be used to gather evidence.</i></li> </ul>	<p><b>Scrutiny Approach:</b> It is proposed that a short, focused and timely ‘<b>Spotlight Scrutiny Review</b>’ approach is utilised on this occasion.</p> <p>The PCP Lead for Pillar Four of the PCC Police &amp; Crime Plan will form a Task &amp; Finish Group to work with the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (OPCC) to perform this review exercise.</p> <p>The Group will utilise a structured approach, offered through the application of the Outcome Based Accountability (OBA) methodology, to assist in its work.</p> <p><u>Supporting Key Lines of Enquiry (KLOE)</u> <i>(for discussion with the PCC)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>What is the current position (baseline) / history (trend)?</i></li> <li>○ <i>Is the system efficient and effective, in particular usage, storage and retrieval of data</i></li> <li>○ <i>What’s helping and hindering the use of BWV?</i></li> <li>○ <i>Is use of BWV making a difference?</i></li> <li>○ <i>Is investment in BWV delivering Value for Money?</i></li> <li>○ <i>What additional information / research is needed?</i></li> <li>○ <i>Who are the key partners we need to be working with?</i></li> <li>○ <i>What could work to turn the trend in the right direction?</i></li> <li>○ <i>What is the PCP’s role and specific contribution?</i></li> </ul>
<p><b>What specific resources &amp; budget requirements are there?</b> <i>What support is required for the review exercise?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>specialist staff</i></li> <li>• <i>any external support</i></li> <li>• <i>site visits</i></li> <li>• <i>consultation</i></li> <li>• <i>research</i></li> </ul>	<p><b>Resource requirements:</b> There are not considered to be any additional costs associated with the proposed review exercise.</p>



<p><b>Are any Corporate Risks associated with this Review?</b>  <i>Identify any weaknesses and barriers to success</i></p>	<p><i>(Note: This will need to be verified against the PCC's Risk Register)</i></p>
<p><b>Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?</b></p>	<p>The outcomes from this review exercise will be presented to the Police and Crime Panel.  A copy of the report, together with any recommendations for improvement as agreed by the PCP, will be communicated to the PCC for formal consideration.</p>
<p><b>What is the Review Timescale?</b></p>	<p>This 'Spotlight' scrutiny review will be a short, focused and timely piece of work.  The aim is to progress this work, in conjunction with the OPCC, over the coming weeks.  The intention is to present a summary of the outcomes to the PCP meeting in <b><u>June 2018</u></b>  Dependent upon the outcomes from the review a decision will be taken as to whether there is a need to schedule any follow-up work to verify any necessary areas for improvement.</p>
<p><b>Who will lead the Review Exercise?</b></p>	<p>The PCP nominated representatives for this review will be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Iain McVie – PCP Independent Member</li> </ul>
<p><b>Media Interest / Publicity</b></p>	<p>Media Interest Assessment: This review exercise has been classified as <b><u>LOW</u></b> when assessed against wider public interest.  Will this review be subject to a press embargo? <b>No</b>  Who is the lead communications contact?  - Sarah Johnstone (DCC Communications Manager)  Who is the designated spokesperson for the Scrutiny Review (Elected Member &amp; Officer)?  - Iain McVie (PCP Member representative)  - Mark Taylor (PCP Officer representative)</p>



<b>Completed by:</b> Date: January 2016	Iain McVie PCP Independent Member Mark Taylor – PCP Lead Officer
<b>Approved by PCP Committee</b> Date:	February PCP Meeting

DRAFT